Procurement Decision Record (PDR): Above £30,000 – Award Stage

| Key for completion – who's responsible for what |
|---|
| Procurement & Contracts team |
| Client team |
| Finance |

| Award Stage Identifiers | |
|---|-----------------|
| P&CM Lead Officer: | Aaliyah Daniels |
| Procurement Decision Record (PDR) Number: | 1271 |
| PDR Creation Date: | 19/06/2025 |
| Procurement Code (DN#): | DN783368 |

| Associated Identifiers | |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Was a PDR to Procure created? | YES □ NO ⊠ |
| PDR Procure # | |
| Was a PDR to Define created? | YES □ NO ⊠ |
| PDR Define # | |

| Summary Award Stage Information | | |
|--|--|--|
| Contract (Project) Title: | Housing Related Support Single Homeless Pathway (Purbeck Road) | |
| Description of subject matter: NB this information will be published in the public domain | Purbeck Road has 7 self-contained units. The service is for people with a long-term history of rough sleeping who have struggled to move into stable accommodation as they still have significant support needs and this it to prevent them returning to rough sleeping. | |
| Is this a Corporate Contract? | YES □ NO ⊠ | |
| Project Type: | Services | |
| Contract Length (months): | 24 | |
| Contract Length: is length optimised to prevent avoidable recurrence? | YES ⊠ NO □ | |
| Planned contract start date: | 01/04/2025 | |
| Has the council procured this compliantly before? | YES ⊠ NO □ | |
| If yes, then DN number of previous contract: | DN650432 | |

| Client Information | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Service Unit: | Housing & Communities |
| Department: | Housing Options & Partnerships |

| Client Officer Name: | Kim Ward |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Service Director Name: | Kelly Deane |

| Supporting Documents | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Is there an Officer Decision Record (ODR) signed by the CFO that approves this proposed spend? | YES □ NO ⊠ If yes, then attach it | |
| Are there Cabinet / CMB papers signed by the CFO that approves this proposed spend? | YES □ NO ⊠ If yes, then attach it | |

| Procurement Route | | | |
|-------------------|---|-------------|--|
| | Above threshold - Open | | |
| | Above threshold - Competitive Flexible | | |
| | Framework – Further Competition - if yes above then complete: | | |
| | Framework Owner | | |
| | Framework Name | | |
| | Lot Reference | | |
| | Framework – Direct Award - if yes above then complete: | | |
| | Framework Owner | | |
| | Framework Name | | |
| | Lot Reference | | |
| | Regulated below threshold – openly advertised | | |
| | Regulated below threshold – targeted 5 (minimum) suppliers | | |
| | Light Touch (LT) route – if yes then complete: | | |
| | • LT | \boxtimes | |
| | LT User Choice | | |
| | Provider Selection Regime (PSR) route - if yes then complete: | | |
| | DA Process A | | |
| | DA Process B | | |
| | DA Process C | | |
| | Most Suitable Provider Process | | |
| | Competitive Process | | |

| BCP's Financial Regulations, Part G, Regulation 5 | | |
|---|------------|--|
| Standard competition requirements not followed as they would likely cause harm to health or property. | YES □ NO ⊠ | |
| If yes above, then justify why | | |
| A particular supplier is required because competition is absent for technical reasons. | YES ⊠ NO □ | |

| • If yes above, then justify why | Purbeck road was pilot service that commenced in 2023. Previous PDR's 218/520. During the last 2 years it's shown to be an effective accommodation solution for some of BCP's most hitherto challenging and long-term cases. Purbeck Road have demonstrated very high rates of sustainment, where these cases previous accommodation options have failed resulting in cyclical homelessness at high human and financial cost to the council. Housing is commencing a commissioning and reprocurement of all the Housing Related Support Services. A draft BCP Specialist & Supported Housing Strategy is expected to be considered by Cabinet in January 2026, with a Recommissioning Plan/Strategy being implemented upon approval. This PDR is for 24-month contract extension so the service can continue whilst the work is undertaken. If the contract is not extended, this would result in the need to purchase alternative services at very short notice, which would not be economically viable and could present risks in terms of client safety. This could also result in the decline of some service users due to lack of consultation and disruption to their support. |
|--|--|
| Payments in advance for goods, services or works. | YES ⊠ NO □ |
| If yes above, then justify why | Existing contract payment schedule allows for payments to be made quarterly in advance. |
| Spot-purchase (i.e. off-contract) when buying against a compliantly procured contract was an option. | YES □ NO ⊠ |
| If yes above, then justify why | |

| Bid Evaluation | | |
|--|----|----------|
| How many bids did you receive? | | N/A |
| How many bids from SMEs? | | |
| How many bids from VCSEs? | | |
| Names of all bidders: | 1. | |
| Names of any bidders rejected and reason(s) for rejection: | 1. | |
| Names of any bidders rejected due to abnormally low tender: | 1. | |
| Did the tender involve a presentation / interview stage? | | Yes / No |
| Names of bidders invited to the presentation / interview stage: | | |
| Quality Evaluators: Names | 1. | |
| Price Evaluators: Names | 1. | |
| Were all evaluators given clear instructions on how to score bids? | | Yes / No |
| Were any significant clarifications required on the bids? | | Yes / No |
| If yes, then describe and confirm issues have been resolved. | | |

| Evaluation matrix: insert clip below showing the price – quality summary and overall rankings: | | |
|--|--------|--|
| | | |
| Have you deviated from any of the procedures set out in the Contract Notice or Prior Information Notice? | Yes/No | |
| If yes above, explain why you have deviated? | | |
| | | |

| Award Recommendation | | |
|--|---|--|
| Name of the successful bidder(s): | St Mungos | |
| Address of successful bidder(s): | 3 Thomas More Square, Tower Hill, London E1W 1YW | |
| Contact name of successful bidder(s) | Laura Shovlin – Laura.Shovlin@MUNGOS.ORG | |
| Reasons why successful bidder's tender was selected | Existing provider performing to contract. | |
| Percentage of the contract to be sub-contracted (where known): | | |
| Names of sub-contractors (where known): | | |
| Is the successful bidder(s) a SME? | No | |

| Pricing and financial impact (all values excluding VAT) | | |
|---|----------|--|
| Price used for tender evaluation purposes | £ | |
| Annual revenue impact (if applicable) | £251,884 | |
| Estimated whole life contract value (i.e. incl all extension options) | £503,786 | |
| Value of commitment at contract signing | £503,786 | |

| Compliance checks | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| Stage 1 – Preliminary Compliance Check completed including debarment check | t N/A | |
| Stage 2 – Evaluation of Bidders' responses to the SQ completed | N/A | |
| | No Check | |
| Financial Appraisal in accordance with Financial Risk Appraisal Assessment: | Credit Check | \boxtimes |
| 7 tooosinone. | Full Financial | |
| Did the Financial Appraisal confirm it was okay to proceed? N/A | | |
| If no above, then describe issue(s) and any decisions made: | | |
| | | |

Justification & Approval (including conflicts of interest)

Lead Client Officer

| Conflicts of Interest Declaration(s) | I confirm that all individuals that could influence a decision made by or on behalf of BCP Council in relation to this procurement have completed Conflicts of Interest Declarations. Such individuals include, as applicable, the project management team, the senior responsible officer, the budget holder, the commercial director, members of the management board, commercial staff, specification writers, people who will assess tenders, contractors, external experts, private sector secondees and consultants, non-executive board members, special advisers and Members. |
|---|---|
| Does this spend need to happen now? | YES ⊠ NO □ |
| Does spend need to happen to this value? | YES ⊠ NO □ |
| If yes above, then cross- reference statutory requirement to do this. | Duty on Local Authority outlined in Part 7 of the Housing Act 1996 to prevent homelessness whenever possible and to provide support to those who are already homeless and secure suitable accommodation. |
| If whole life contract value is greater than £5M then name of contract manager responsible for reporting supplier performance against predefined KPIs to Procurement for inclusion in BCP's UK9 Notice. | |
| Signature: | Kim Ward |
| Print Name: | Kim Ward |
| Date: | 24/06/2025 |
| IT Authorisation (where relevan | nt\ |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Comments: | |
| Signature: | |
| Print Name: | |
| Date: | |
| Other Authorisation (where rel | evant) |
| Comments: | |
| Signature: | |
| Print Name: | |
| Date: | |
| | |
| Director | |
| Comments: | |

| Signature: | Rewe |
|-------------|-------------|
| Print Name: | Kelly Deane |
| Date: | 25/06/2025 |

| Lead Procurement Officer | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Conflicts of Interest | I confirm that all interests identified in the Conflicts of Interest |
| Mitigation(s) | Declarations have been or will be mitigated. |
| Contract Performance Notice (UK9) | I confirm that where the whole life contract value is greater than £5M I have reported the name of the allocated contract manager to procurementcompliance@bcpcouncil.gov.uk to |
| | enable mandatory reporting. |
| Comments: | |
| Signature: | Dands |
| Print Name: | Aaliyah Daniels |
| Date: | 19/06/2025 |

Accountancy Control (all values excluding VAT)

| PROCUREMENT | Define Stage | Procure Stage | Award Stage |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Budgeted (i.e. annual) £ | | | £xxx |
| Estimated whole life contract | | | £503,786 |
| value (inc extensions) £ | | | |
| Forecast Pressure or Saving | | | £ xxx |
| (+ive means saving; -ive | | | |
| means pressure) | | | |

| | Funding from Revenue budget £ | Funding from Capital budget £ | Funding from Grant £ | Funding from HRA £ | Total Funding |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| Amount £ | £ | £ | £503,786 | £ | £ |
| Main Account | | | 61401 | | |
| Cost Centre(s) | | | PG4000 | | |
| Additional Analysis | | | | | |
| Project Code | | | | | |
| Name of grant awarding body | | | Rough Sleeping Prevention and Recovery Grant | | |

| Comments: | Fully funded through Rough Sleeping Prevention and Recovery Grant - revenue |
|-------------|---|
| Signature: | MORIONA |
| Print Name: | Michelle Matthews |
| Date: | 25/06/25 |

Compliance Control

| Procurement and Contract Management approval (Head of Service) | | |
|--|---|--|
| Comments: | Approving on behalf of Stuart Bickel (on leave) | |
| Signature: | 200 | |
| Print Name: | Zoe Snell | |
| Date: | 09/07/2025 | |

