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Purpose of the document

The purpose of this document is to invite proposals for a top-down cost benchmarking study of European railway infrastructure managers’ maintenance and renewals expenditure for the Office of Rail and Road (ORR).

This document contains the following sections:

* + - 1. Introduction to the Office of Rail and Road
      2. Statement of Requirement
      3. Tender Proposal & Evaluation Criteria
      4. Procurement Procedures

## Introduction to the Office of Rail and Road

The Office of Rail and Road is the independent safety and economic regulator of Britain’s railways. We also hold National Highways to account for its day-to-day efficiency and performance, running the strategic road network, and for delivering the five year road investment strategy.

ORR currently employs approximately 360 personnel and operates from 6 locations nationwide. The majority of personnel are located at ORR’s headquarters, 25 Cabot Square, London.

### Our strategic objectives

#### 1. A safer railway:

Enforce the law and ensure that the industry delivers continuous improvement in the health and safety of passengers, the workforce and public, by achieving excellence in health and safety culture, management and risk control.

#### 2. Better rail customer service:

Improve the rail passenger experience in the consumer areas for which we have regulatory responsibility and take prompt and effective action to improve the service that passengers receive where it is required.

#### 3. Value for money from the railway:

Support the delivery of an efficient, high-performing rail service that provides value for money for passengers, freight customers, governments, and taxpayers.

#### 4. Better Highways:

National Highways operates the strategic road network, managing motorways and major roads in England. Our role is to monitor and hold it to account for its performance and delivery, so that its customers enjoy predictable journeys on England’s roads.

### Supplying ORR

The ORR procurement unit is responsible for purchasing the goods and services necessary for ORR to achieve its role as the economic and health & safety regulator of the rail industry.

The ORR Procurement unit subscribes to the following values:

* + - * + to provide a modern, efficient, transparent and responsible procurement service;
        + to achieve value for money by balancing quality and cost;
        + to ensure contracts are managed effectively and outputs are delivered;
        + to ensure that processes have regard for equality and diversity; and
        + to ensure that procurement is undertaken with regard to Law and best practice.

For further information on ORR please visit our website: [www.orr.gov.uk](https://www.orr.gov.uk/).

Small and Medium Enterprises

1. ORR considers that this contract may be suitable for economic operators that are small or medium enterprises (SMEs) and voluntary organisations. However, any selection of tenderers will be based on the criteria set out for the procurement, and the contract will be awarded on the basis of the most advantageous tender.

Small and Medium Enterprises and Voluntary Organisations:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Enterprise Category | Headcount | Turnover | Or | Balance Sheet Total |
| Micro | <10 | ≤ € 2 million |  | ≤ € 2 million |
| Small | <50 | ≤ € 10 million |  | ≤ € 10 million |
| Medium | <250 | ≤ € 50 million |  | ≤ € 43 million |
| Large | >251 | > € 50 million |  | > € 43 million |

Please ensure that you indicate how your organisation is categorised on the Form of Tender document which should be submitted along with your proposal.

## Statement of Requirement

### 2.1 Background of the project

This project falls within ORR’s strategic objective of delivering value-for-money on the railways. An important part of our work ahead of the next funding period (provisionally called Control Period 8 (CP8), covering the period 1 April 2029 to 31 March 2034, is to assess the scope for Network Rail to improve its efficiency in this period.

In reaching a decision on future funding for the infrastructure manager of the national rail network (whether Network Rail, or as anticipated, Great British Railways) for CP8, we want to understand how the efficiency of Network Rail’s expenditure compares with comparable international infrastructure managers, with a particular emphasis for this work on understanding the effect of different levels of vertical integration of infrastructure and train operations across comparators. This work will complement our [regional benchmarking of Network Rail’s maintenance and renewals activities](https://www.orr.gov.uk/monitoring-regulation/rail/networks/network-rail/monitoring-performance/benchmarking-network-rails-costs) and our bottom-up [targeted assurance reviews](https://www.orr.gov.uk/monitoring-regulation/rail/networks/network-rail/monitoring-performance/targeted-assurance-review-reports) and [independent reporter studies](https://www.orr.gov.uk/monitoring-regulation/rail/networks/network-rail/monitoring-performance/independent-reporters) that provide bottom-up analysis of the scope for improvements to business activities.

Because Network Rail is a national network monopoly that has little in the way of direct domestic comparators, international benchmarking is a potentially important tool for assessing the efficiency of the company’s costs. Similar railway infrastructure managers across continental European provide suitable scope for such benchmarking and ORR has previously undertaken international cost benchmarking as part of our 2008 and 2013 periodic reviews (see [PR13 Efficiency Benchmarking of Network Rail using LICB](https://www.orr.gov.uk/sites/default/files/om/pr13-efficiency-benchmarking-of-nr.pdf) for further details).

We recently commissioned Civity to undertake a high-level assessment of the role and benefits of benchmarking in our monitoring of Network Rail’s costs and performance, and to consider the approach, scope and content of benchmarking activities which we can pursue. Civity found that there is scope for international cost benchmarking utilising existing datasets. Civity’s report is available on request.

The Civity report also found that international peers offer the opportunity to learn about new approaches and technologies. We are also interested in learning about these practical insights wherever possible – i.e. getting beneath the data to establish what practical options might exist for Network Rail to improve how they operate or undertake capital projects.

### 

### 2.2 Project Objectives and Scope

**Objectives**

The objectives of this project are to:

1. Construct a robust high-quality dataset of comparable railway infrastructure managers’ expenditure (including that of Great Britain) that can be used for top-down cost benchmarking,
2. Conduct comprehensive top-down cost benchmarking analysis of selected international railway infrastructure managers’ expenditure (covering operations, support, maintenance and renewals activities) to establish the relative levels of efficiency,
3. Determine the effect of different levels of vertical integration of infrastructure management and train operations on the efficiency of rail infrastructure managers,
4. Understand the pace at which relative efficiency gaps have been closed or widened by individual infrastructure managers using a comparable technique to measure and quantify efficiency across the data set, and
5. Explain and interpret the findings of this analysis in a report, including practical insights about the reasons for differing levels of efficiency.

**Scope of work**

We require a report benchmarking Network Rail’s operations, support, maintenance and renewals expenditure against suitably selected international railway infrastructure managers. This is to help us to understand whether and to what extent there is a gap between the cost and efficiency of Network Rail’s operations, support, maintenance and renewals expenditure and that of comparators and the reasons for any differences so we can identify potential efficiency or activity opportunities. We invite bidders to explain their proposed approach, together with its strengths and limitations.

The report should include:

* a quantified analysis of the relative efficiency of Network Rail with its peer group, together with clear workings showing how the analysis was produced,
* analysis into the observable differences between railways with and without vertically integrated systems,
* an examination of the effect of different levels of vertical integration of infrastructure management and train operations on the relative cost and efficiency of rail infrastructure managers,
* a detailed explanation of the methodology used for the benchmarking and why it was chosen, and
* insights about the reasons for differences in relative efficiency across the peer group.

We also require spreadsheets containing the data used in the benchmarking analysis in a format that is easy to understand and clearly defined.

In undertaking the work, the contractor will need to:

* make use of the extensive publicly available information for Network Rail, including its [Regulatory Financial Statements](https://www.networkrail.co.uk/who-we-are/publications-and-resources/financial/). Whilst we encourage collaborative engagement with Network Rail as part of this work, we do not expect the study to require significant input by Network Rail to support data gathering and analysis.
* provide cost data that is sufficiently defined to enable like-for-like comparisons and explain any normalisation adjustments that have been made to make the data consistent,
* ideally use several years of historical data. The tender should make it clear what the contractor believes is feasible and the approach it will take regarding this.
* ensure that for vertically integrated railways the data used correctly separates the costs of managing the infrastructure and the costs of train operations and that accounting policies and practices are materially consistent,
* convert cost data to take into account of differing Purchasing Power Parities (PPP) and CPI inflation, and
* make clear all key assumptions used in the analysis.

We will make available to the selected contractor any relevant Network Rail data that we hold, but we expect the contractor to provide their own data in respect of other countries that is prepared on a consistent basis with Network Rail’s data.

### 2.3 Project Outputs, Deliverables and Contract Management

#### Outputs and Deliverables

The consultant is to deliver:

* + - * + Interim presentation of emerging findings to ORR and Network Rail
        + Draft report for comment to ORR and Network Rail, which details the findings, conclusions and recommendations
        + Final report which incorporates the amendments from ORR and Network Rail

Any analysis carried out by the Supplier in support of the contract deliverables must be supported by analytical assurance. This must be documented and an analytical assurance statement submitted to summarise the assurance that has been carried out.

#### Contract Management Requirements

The service level agreement (SLA) between ORR and the consultant includes:

1. **Timely Delivery:** Ensure that all deliverables, including interim presentations, draft reports, and final reports, are submitted by the agreed deadlines.
2. **Data Integrity:** Maintain high standards of data integrity, ensuring that all data used in the benchmarking analysis is accurate and reliable.
3. **Communication:** Provide regular updates to the ORR and Network Rail, including fortnightly progress reports and immediate notification of any issues.
4. **Responsiveness:** Respond to any queries or requests for additional information from ORR and Network Rail within three working days.
5. **Confidentiality:** Adhere to confidentiality agreements and ensure that all project-related information is securely handled and protected.

The success of the project will be evaluated based on the following key performance indicators (KPIs):

1. **Timeliness:** Track the time taken to complete the project.
2. **Cost Efficiency:** Track the variance between the budgeted and actual costs.
3. **Data Accuracy:** Ensure that the data used for benchmarking is accurate, consistent and reproducible.
4. **Report Quality:** Evaluate the quality of interim and final reports based on clarity, comprehensiveness, and actionable insights.

### 2.4 Project Timescales

The provisional project timetable is as follows:

* + - * + Inception meeting and commencement w/c 28 July 2025
        + Fortnightly updates on progress and any issues
        + Presentation of interim findings w/c 12 January 2026 (or as agreed)
        + Draft report by 30 January 2026
        + Final report by 27 February 2026

### 2.5 Budget and Payment Schedule

The maximum budget for this piece of work is £160,000 (inc. of expenses, exc. of VAT).

Payment of the total fee will be on the delivery and acceptance by ORR of all required outputs and/or deliverables.

### 2.6 Further project related information for bidders

#### Intellectual Property Rights

ORR will own the Intellectual Property Rights for all project related documentation and artefacts.

#### Transparency requirements

Please note ORR is required to ensure that any new procurement opportunity above £25,000 (excluding VAT) is published on Central Digital Platform, unless the ORR is satisfied it is lawful not to. Once a contract has been awarded as a result of a procurement process, ORR is required to publish details of who won the contract, the contract value and indicate whether the winning supplier is a SME or voluntary sector organisation.

#### Confidentiality

All consultants working on the project may be required to sign a confidentiality agreement and abide by the Cabinet Office’s protective marking guidelines, which ORR uses to protectively mark a proportion of its information. In addition, the consultant may be required to sign additional confidentiality agreements as required by external stakeholders.

#### Sub-Contractors

Contractors may use sub-contractors subject to the following:

* + - * + That the Contractor assumes unconditional responsibility for the overall work and its quality;
        + That individual sub-contractors are clearly identified, with fee rates and grades made explicit to the same level of detail as for the members of the lead consulting team.

Internal relationships between the Contractor and its sub-contractors shall be the entire responsibility of the Contractor. Failure to meet deadlines or to deliver work packages by a subcontractor will be attributed by ORR entirely to the Contractor.

#### Conflict of Interest

At the date of submitting the tender and prior to entering into any contract, the tenderer warrants that no conflict of interest exists or is likely to arise in the performance of its obligations under this contract; or

Where any potential, actual or perceived conflicts of interest in respect of this contract exist, tenderers need to outline what mitigation/safeguards would be put in place to mitigate the risk of actual or perceived conflicts arising during the delivery of these services.

The ORR will review the mitigation/safeguards in line with the perceived conflict of interest, to determine what level of risk this poses to them. Therefore, if tenderers cannot or are unwilling to suitably demonstrate that they have suitable safeguards to mitigate any risk then their tender will be deemed non-compliant and may be rejected.

## Tender Response and Evaluation Criteria

### 3.1 The Tender Response

The proposals for this project should include an outline of how bidders will meet the requirement outlined in section (ii) “Statement of Requirement”. The following information should be included:

* 1. **Understanding of customer's requirements**

Demonstrate an understanding of the requirement and overall aims of the project.

* 1. **Approach to customer's requirements**

Provide an explanation of the proposed approach and any methodologies bidders will work to;

A project plan to show how outputs and deliverables will be produced within the required timescales, detailing the resources that will be allocated;

An understanding of the risks, and explain how they would be mitigated to ensure delivery

* 1. **Proposed delivery team**

Key personnel including details of how their key skills, experience and qualifications align to the delivery of the project;

Some relevant examples of previous work that bidders have carried out;

Project roles and responsibilities; and

Confirmation that you have carried out the necessary employment checks (e.g. right to work in the UK).

* 1. **Pricing**

A fixed fee for the project inclusive of all expense. This should include a breakdown of the personnel who will be involved with the project, along with associated charge rates and anticipated time inputs that can be reconciled to the fixed fee.

* 1. **Conflicts of Interest**

Confirm whether you have any potential, actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may by relevant to this requirement and outline what safeguards would be put in place to mitigate the risk of actual or perceived conflicts arising during the delivery of these services.

### 3.2 Evaluation Criteria

Tenders will be assessed for compliance with procurement and contractual requirements which will include:

* + - * + Completeness of the tender information
        + Completed Declaration Form of Tender and Disclaimer
        + Tender submitted in accordance with the conditions and instructions for tendering
        + Tender submitted by the closing date and time
        + Compliance with contractual arrangements
        + Submission of Cyber Essentials

Tenders that are not compliant may be disqualified from the process. We reserve the right to clarify any issues regarding a Bidder’s compliance. It will be at ORR's sole discretion whether to include the relevant Bidder’s response in the next stage of the process.

The contract will be awarded to the Bidder(s) submitting the **‘most advantageous tender’**. Tenders will be evaluated according to weighted criteria as follows:

#### Methodology (50%)

The proposal should set out the methodology by which the project requirement will be initiated, delivered and concluded. In particular, it must:

* 1. Explain the methodology and delivery mechanisms to ensure that the requirements of this specification are met in terms of quality;
  2. Explain how your organisation will work in partnership with ORR’s project manager to ensure that the requirement is met
  3. Explain how your organisation will engage with external stakeholders;
  4. Outline how the proposed approach utilises innovative consultation methodologies to develop a diverse and comprehensive evidence-base

#### Delivery (20%)

The proposal should set out how and when the project requirement will be delivered. In particular, it must:

* 1. Explain how this work will be delivered to timescale and how milestones will be met, detailing the resources that will be allocated to each stage;
  2. Demonstrate an understanding of the risks, and project dependencies and explain how they would be mitigated to ensure project delivery;
  3. Explain the resources that will be allocated to delivering the required outcomes/output, and what other resources can be called upon if required.

#### Experience (10%)

The proposal should set out any experience relevant to the project requirement. In particular, it must:

* 1. Provide CVs of the consultants who will be delivering the project;
  2. Highlight the organisation’s relevant experience for this project, submitting examples of similar projects.

#### Cost / Value for money (20%)

A **fixed fee** for delivery of the project requirement (inclusive of all expenses), including a **full price breakdown for each stage of the project** and details of the **day rates** that will apply for the lifetime of this project.

| Name of consultant | Grade | Role | Day rate | Number of days | Total cost (ex VAT) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please note that consultancy grades should align with the following definitions:

| Grade | Requirement |
| --- | --- |
| Junior consultant | Demonstrable experience in a wide range of projects in their specialist field. Evidence of client facing experience and support services to wider consultancy projects. |
| Consultant | Notable experience and in-depth knowledge of their specialist field. Evidence of a wide range of consultancy projects and client facing experience. Support work in process and organisational design and leading workshops and events. |
| Senior Consultant | Substantial experience in their specialist field and in a consultancy/training role. Previous experience in project management and working in a wide range of high quality and relevant projects. Familiarity of the issues/problems facing public sector organisations. |
| Principal Consultant | Substantial experience in their specialist field and in a consultancy/training role. Sound knowledge of the public sector and current policy and political issues affecting it. Previous experience in project management on at least three major projects, preferably in the public sector and using the PRINCE2 or equivalent method. |
| Managing Consultant | Substantial experience in their specialist field and in a consultancy role. In depth knowledge of the public sector and of current policy and political issues affecting it. Previous experience in project management on at least 5 major projects, preferably in the public sector and using PRINCE2 or equivalent methods. |
| Director / Partner | Extensive experience in their specialist field, in which they are nationally or internationally renowned as an expert. Extensive experience of leading or directing major, complex and business critical projects; bringing genuine strategic insight. In depth knowledge of the public sector and of current policy and political issues affecting it. |

#### Marking scheme

For the Methodology, Delivery and Experience shall be scored using the following:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table of Evaluation Methodology and Marking Scheme for Quality Criteria** | | |
| **Score** | **Category** | **Definition (Explanation)** |
| 0 | Unacceptable | Unanswered or totally inadequate response to the requirement. Complete failure to understand/reflect the core issues. Fails to demonstrate an ability to meet the requirement. |
| 1 | Poor | Minimal or poor response to meeting the requirement, with little or no relevance. Limited understanding misses some aspects.  The response addresses few elements of the requirement and contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the requirement will be fulfilled. |
| 2 | Fair | Response is mostly relevant, but elements of the response are poor. The response addresses most elements of the requirement but contains limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how some of the requirement will be fulfilled. |
| 3 | Acceptable | Response is relevant and acceptable. The response addresses a broad understanding of the requirement but lacks details on how the requirement will be fulfilled in certain areas. |
| 4 | Good | Response is relevant and good. The response is sufficiently comprehensive to assure and demonstrate a good understanding, also providing much detail on how the requirements will be fulfilled. |
| 5 | Excellent | Excellent response fully addressing the requirement and providing significant additional evidence of how the criterion has been met and how value would be added  The response is comprehensive, unambiguous and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirement and provides details of how the requirement will be met in full. |

For the Price evaluation the following shall apply:

* + - * + Fixed fee
        + The lowest fixed fee will be awarded the maximum price score of 100.
        + All other bidders will get a price score relative to the lowest fee tendered.
        + The calculation we will use to calculate your score is as follows:

Price Score = Lowest Total Fee x 100

Bidder’s Total Fee

Your score will then be multiplied by the weighting we have applied to this aspect of the price evaluation to provide a weighted score for the fee.

## Procurement Procedures

### Tendering Timetable

The timescales for the procurement process are as follows:

| Element | Timescale |
| --- | --- |
| Invitation to tender issued | 12 June 2025 |
| Deadline for the submission of clarification questions | 23 June 2025 midday |
| Deadline for submission of proposals | 11 July 2025 midday |
| Award contract | 25 July 2025 |
| Project Inception Meeting | W/C 28 July 2025 |

### Tendering Instructions and Guidance

#### Amendments to ITT document

Any advice of a modification to the Invitation to Tender will be issued as soon as possible before the Tender submission date and shall be issued as an addendum to, and shall be deemed to constitute part of, the Invitation to Tender. If necessary, ORR shall revise the Tender Date in order to comply with this requirement.

#### Clarifications & Queries

Please note that, for audit purposes, any query in connection with the tender should be submitted via the ORR eTendering portal. The response, as well as the nature of the query, will be notified to all suppliers without disclosing the name of the Supplier who initiated the query.

#### Submission Process

Tenders must be uploaded to the ORR eTendering portal no later than the submission date and time shown above. Tenders uploaded after the closing date and time may not be accepted. Bidders have the facility to upload later versions of tenders until the closing date/time.

The tender shall consist of two envelopes and bidders must submit the correct documents to the correct envelope as set out below:

* **Technical Envelope**: Quality response (Methodology, Delivery, Experience), Disclaimer and Cyber Essentials or ISO27000 certification (or equivalent)
* **Commercial Envelope:** Price and Form of Tender

If you are already registered on our eTendering portal but have forgotten your login details, please contact the portal administrator.

An evaluation team will evaluate all tenders correctly submitted against the stated evaluation criteria.

By issuing this Invitation to Tender ORR does not undertake to accept the lowest tender, or part or all of any tender. No part of the tender submitted will be returned to the supplier

#### Debrief

The debrief material shall contain comments and scores relevant to your tender. Bidders may seek clarification of the content, however no additional debriefs shall be offered. We shall not disclose comments and scores relevant to other tenders other than the total score of the winning bidder.

#### Cost & Pricing Information

Tender costs remain the responsibility of those tendering. This includes any costs or expenses incurred by the supplier in connection with the preparation or delivery or in the evaluation of the tender. All details of the tender, including prices and rates, are to remain valid for acceptance for a period of 90 days from the tender closing date.

Tender prices must be in Sterling.

Once the contract has been awarded, any additional costs incurred which are not reflected in the tender submission will not be accepted for payment.

#### References

References provided as part of the tender may be approached during the tender stage

#### Accessibility Guidelines

As a public body we are legally required to comply with accessibility guidelines. Please ensure any commissioned report is in a format that meets web accessibility regulations: [Guidelines for writing accessible reports for ORR - Guidance for external suppliers | Office of Rail and Road.](https://www.orr.gov.uk/media/23638)

#### Contractual Information

Following the evaluation of submitted tenders, in accordance with the evaluation criteria stated in this document, a contractor may be selected to perform the services and subsequently issued with an order.

Any contract awarded, as a result of this procurement will be placed with a prime contractor who will take full contractual responsibility for the performance of all obligations under the contract. Any sub-contractors you intend to use to fulfil any aspect of the services must be identified in the tender along with details of their relationship, responsibilities and proposed management arrangements.

The proposal should be submitted in the form of an unconditional offer that is capable of being accepted by the ORR without the need for further negotiation. Any contract arising from this procurement will be based upon ORR’s standard Terms & Conditions (see Form of Agreement attached). You should state in your proposal that you are willing to accept these Terms & Conditions.

ORR does not expect to negotiate individual terms and expects to contract on the basis of those terms alone. If you do not agree to the Conditions of Contract then your tender may be deselected on that basis alone and not considered further.

**ORR may be prepared to consider non-fundamental changes to the standard terms and conditions in exceptional circumstances. If there are any areas where you feel you are not able to comply with the standard ORR terms and conditions, then details should be submitted as a separate annex to the proposal using the following format:**

| Clause Number | Existing Wording | Proposed Wording | Rational for amendment |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Any services arising from this ITT will be carried out pursuant to the contract which comprises of:

* + - * + ORR Terms & Conditions;
        + Service Schedules;
        + this Invite to Tender & Statement of Requirement document; and
        + the chosen supplier’s successful tender.

ORR’s Transparency Obligations and the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act)

The ORR is a central Government department and as such complies with the Government’s transparency agenda. As a result, there is a presumption that contract documentation will be made available to the public via electronic means. The ORR will work with the chosen supplier to establish if any information within the contract should be withheld and the reasons for withholding it from publication.

Typically the following information will be published:

* + - * + contract price and any incentivisation mechanisms
        + performance metrics and management of them
        + plans for management of underperformance and its financial impact
        + governance arrangements including through supply chains where significant contract value rests with subcontractors
        + resource plans
        + service improvement plans

Where appropriate to do so information will be updated as required during the life of the contract so it remains current;

In addition, as a public authority, ORR is subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. All information submitted to a public authority may need to be disclosed by the public authority in response to a request under the Act. ORR may also decide to include certain information in the publication scheme which it maintains under the Act. If a bidder considers that any of the information included in its proposal is commercially sensitive, it should identify it and explain (in broad terms) what harm may result from disclosure if a request is received and the time period applicable to that sensitivity. Bidders should be aware that even where they have indicated that information is commercially sensitive ORR may be required to disclose it under the Act if a request is received. Bidders should also note that the receipt of any material marked “confidential” or equivalent by the public authority should not be taken to mean that the public authority accepts any duty of confidence by virtue of that marking. If a request is received ORR may also be required to disclose details of unsuccessful bids

Please use the following matrix: to list such information:

| Para. No. | Description | Applicable exemption under FOIA 2000 |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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