



ID number	Area	Clarification Question	Response
ID1	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that Clause 3.4 is not intended to preclude the Supplier from raising Change Requests (under the Change Control Procedure) and applying corresponding adjustments to charges, timelines, and resources where there are Customer-requested scope changes, additions, or new requirements.	Clause 3.4 relates to information provided by the Contracting Authorities, and would not impact on any changes requested at a later date, unless those changes would have been reasonably foreseen by the Supplier because of information provided by the Contracting Authorities.
ID2	Contract (Annex 9)	In relation to Clause 4.2.1, we would be grateful if you could confirm whether the Authority would be willing to amend "Best Industry Practice" to "Good Industry Practice" throughout the Agreement. "Good Industry Practice" is the commonly used and industry-standard term across public sector contracts and provides a clearer and more objective benchmark.	As stated in the ITT, the Contracting Authorities want to implement an Evaluation Programme which will be ambitious in nature; providing a strong opportunity to advance major event research and analysis techniques, and leave a tangible, progressive framework which can benefit the major events sector in the future. Accordingly we are seeking a supplier who can support this ambition and our pursuit of a best practice programme. The position of the Contracting Authorities will remain as drafted.
ID3	Contract (Annex 9)	Can you please elaborate on the scope or clause 4.3 and what is required. Could you clarify whether support for tournament evaluation adjacent projects is optional for the Supplier, and whether any such support would require agreement via the Change Control Procedure, including scope, timelines and charges if it creates material additional resource requirements or impacts project timelines?	There may be adjacent projects or activity which relate to, or have similarities with, the services set out in the Contract. No such projects are confirmed at this point. This clause is intended to provide the Contracting Authorities with the option to request that the appointed Supplier commit to support such projects. The Supplier will have the right to refuse any such request. Where support is agreeable, it would be specified through a change control procedure including scope and timelines. Charges for any support would be based on the rates set out in the second tab of the Annex 6 - the cost and pricing table.
ID4	Contract (Annex 9)	Are our services going to be subject to a specific CPI or KPI? If so, what are they?	These are set out in Schedule 2 of the Contract (Annex 9).
ID5	Contract (Annex 9)	Could you clarify whether Clause 6.5 is intended to prevent cost recovery even where a General Change in Law has a significant operational and financial impact on the Supplier? Furthermore, please confirm that changes required due to new legal obligations will be subject to the Change Control Procedure and that the parties will agree any associated changes to scope, Charges, and delivery.	The standard position in commercial contracts is for costs relating to a General Change in Law to be absorbed by the supplier and there is no reason to believe that the circumstances relating to this contract should require a different approach.
ID6	Contract (Annex 9)	In relation to clause 12.4, please confirm what level of detail the Authority expects. Noting we not disclose any personal data or confidential information about our employees with you.	The Contracting Authorities may wish to understand information such as numbers of supplier personnel working on the contract, geographical locations, high level information relating to qualifications or safeguarding. The Contracting Authorities have drafted the Contract so that information identifying individuals should not be shared which should address any concerns regarding personal data.
ID7	Contract (Annex 9)	Can we agree that for our services, clause 14 and schedule 6 shall not apply on the basis that TUPE is not relevant to these services?	The Contracting Authorities recognise that TUPE is unlikely to apply but best practice in public sector contracts is to ensure that TUPE provisions for the expiry or termination of the contract are included. The Contracting Authorities require this provision to be included.
ID8	Contract (Annex 9)	Could you confirm that the obligation to identify and implement efficiencies at clauses 16.8 and 16.9 does not require the Supplier to deliver additional scope or service changes without charge? Please confirm that any efficiency-related changes will follow the Change Control Procedure, with adjustments to scope, timelines, and fees agreed between the parties.	The Contracting Authorities would expect to address such situations on a case by case basis with the Supplier, but the obligation is on the Supplier to deliver continuous improvement and efficiencies.
ID9	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that the indemnities in Clause 19 are not required, as liability for breach and negligent performance is fully addressed within the Agreement's liability section. Current drafting duplicates liability provisions and goes beyond market practice for a supplier contract for such services.	This is standard drafting in a public sector contract and does not duplicate any other drafting. It is common practice to separate liabilities and indemnities in this way.
ID10	Contract (Annex 9)	In relation to clause 20.3, please confirm that the liabilities listed in Clause 20.3 can be subject to the overall liability caps under the Agreement. As currently drafted, these carve-outs would create unlimited liability for the Supplier for a broad range of matters (including safeguarding, IPR, TUPE, data protection, and cyber of which many are not relevant to our scope), which is not market standard. We would expect all liabilities to be capped in line with industry practice, with only narrowly-defined exceptions (e.g., wilful misconduct or fraud) falling outside the cap.	This is standard drafting in a public sector contract, and entirely in line with the level of financial risk that the Contracting Authorities may be exposed to in relation to those particular elements of the contract.
ID11	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that the liability cap in Clause 20.6 can be revised. The proposed £5,000,000 cap per Contract Year is disproportionate to the nature and value of the services and is not market standard. We would expect the liability cap to be set at an amount proportionate to the Supplier's fees and no more than three (3) times the annual fees. Please confirm the Contracting Authorities are willing to align the liability cap accordingly.	The Contract comes with additional risk for the Contracting Authorities due to its public facing nature and link to a high-profile highly scrutinised public event. At this time, the Contracting Authorities do not consider the current drafting to be disproportionate.
ID12	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that the carve-outs listed under Clause 20.9.2 can be amended. As drafted, these provisions expose the Supplier to broad and unpredictable categories of loss (including wasted expenditure, alternative procurement costs, and regulator-imposed fines not levied against the supplier), which is not market standard and is not proportionate to the value of the contract. We would expect these categories of loss to be excluded or, where appropriate, recoverable only to the extent of the Supplier's breach and always within the agreed liability cap (which we would expect to be proportionate to the Supplier's fees). Please confirm the authorities are willing to negotiate and align this clause accordingly.	These are not unusual costs to be included as recoverable by the Contracting Authorities. The drafting does not exclude them for the general liability cap.

ID13	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that Clause 21.3 can be amended. As drafted, the Authority may recover any and all costs of procuring insurance 'for whatever reason', which could create uncapped and unpredictable liability for the Supplier. This is not proportionate or market standard.	This is a standard provision in public sector contracts. The Contracting Authorities must be able to make arrangements at the given time, which may not be the best commercial offer if limited by urgency, for example. The Contracting Authorities cannot accept a position where they are at risk.
ID14	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that the data protection provisions can be amended. For these professional services, the Supplier will not be acting as a Data Processor. In accordance with ICO guidance, the nature of the services means we would act as a Data Controller where personal data is relevant to the engagement. We would therefore expect suitable Controller clauses to be included in place of the current Processor obligations. Please confirm that appropriate Controller-to-Controller provisions can be added to reflect the correct roles.	The Contracting Authorities have been advised that the most likely data position is one of Controller - Processor as the personal data collected will be with the agreement of the Contracting Authorities for the purposes of the services only. Should this position change during the tender process, the Contracting Authorities will consider the position again during contract finalisation with the preferred supplier.
ID15	Contract (Annex 9)	We note the requirements and standards set out in Clause 24.2. Given the nature of the professional services being provided, we will need the opportunity to discuss and agree which of these requirements are relevant and proportionate to the services. Please confirm that the Contracting Authorities are willing to negotiate the applicability of the security standards in order to ensure they align appropriately with the scope of the engagement.	It is the Contracting Authorities' standard position to include these requirements.
ID16	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that Clause 24.4 can be amended. As drafted, it requires the Supplier to cover all costs arising from any cyber security incident, including regulatory fines and broad categories of remediation and third-party claims. This creates unlimited and uninsurable liability for the Supplier and is not market standard. We would expect liability for cyber and personal data breaches to be limited to losses arising from the Supplier's breach and to fall within the agreed liability cap, with regulatory fines excluded. Please confirm that the clause can be aligned accordingly.	On reflection the Contracting Authorities are content to: - Maintain Clause 24.4 as drafted; - Amend Clause 20.3 to remove cyber and data protection from the list of unlimited liabilities, and include a new cap of £10m for each of cyber and data protection. Bidders will be experienced in seeing higher caps for these types of liabilities.
ID17	Contract (Annex 9)	Please confirm that the contract can include appropriate provisions to ensure that the Supplier owes no duty of care to third parties in respect of any Reports disseminated beyond our client(s), and that any reliance by third parties is at their own risk. We would also expect protections reflecting that any publication or external reference to the Supplier's work is at the Company's risk, together with an indemnity in favour of the Supplier for third-party claims arising from such publication. Please confirm that suitable wording can be incorporated into the contract.	Given the variety of sources of funding and data being provided to the Supplier as part of this Contract, it is right that certain other parties receive certain rights and protections under this contract. The position remains as drafted.
ID18	Contract (Annex 9)	Would the client be open to including a clause allowing the Provider to terminate the agreement where necessary to comply with our auditor independence requirements?	No, the Contracting Authorities' position remains as drafted.
ID19	Contract (Annex 9)	In relation to provisions concerning Audit & Inspection Rights in the contract, could you confirm that audit and inspection rights are intended to be restricted? We would typically expect these to be subject to agreed scope, timing, location, confidentiality obligations, and limited to no more than twice per calendar year.	Under clause 26.3 of the Contract (Annex 9) audits are already limited to 2 per year unless the Contracting Authorities have due cause for any additional audits to be undertaken. Certain issues can be scoped out in advance but the Contracting Authorities must have a wide right to ask questions that are pertinent to any of their own internal processes, and this cannot be unduly limited.
ID20	Contract (Annex 9)	In relation to clause 40.1, could you confirm whether any assignment or novation by you can be subject to our prior written consent, given our regulatory obligations around independence and objectivity?	It is not appropriate for a government body to require consent to novate or assign. Standard practice across government contracts is for this assignment provision to be without consent.
ID21	Contract (Annex 9)	Insurance Coverage Requirements: Is the £5m coverage mandatory for all three insurance types, for each involved party?	The Financial Capacity section of the PSQ sets out the required levels of insurance and as such must be met by both the lead organisation and any other member of a consortium or subcontractor classed as an associated person. For subcontractors or other partners that would not be classed as such, this requirement does not need to be met.
ID22	Contract Delivery	In delivery of this contract, would UK Sport permit the usage of resource and personnel based outside of the UK?	The Contracting Authorities are happy to consider this, provided that: (a) the extent of the resource/personnel operating overseas is relatively limited and that there is no material impact to the delivery of the Contract and the services/Outputs set out within it, and: (b) that any international travel costs (where incurred) are borne by the Supplier.
ID23	Evaluation Framework (Annex 1)	In relation to metric TT10 (Demonstrable improvement in international reputation/brand of UK&I) could you provide more clarity on the academic research that may be funded, and more certainty in relation to this, so that the additional requirements of the supplier are clearer?	At this point we are not able to provide any further detail beyond that stated at the Supplier Briefing. See also response to ID 3.
ID24	Evaluation Framework (Annex 1)	In relation to metric SE2 (tournament waste reduction and circular economy) and SE3 (Tournament Nature/ Biodiversity) please can you confirm what the expectation of suppliers is in terms of data collection, that would be proportional to your requirements. As UEFA is not collecting data in relation to these areas and direct measurement, e.g. of waste recycling, would likely be costly and/or practically difficult to undertake without support from various stakeholders, therefore we would like to understand the expectations in further detail.	The prioritisation exercise partly reflects this, with SE2 and SE3 designated as Tier 2 and Tier 3 respectively rather than Tier 1 priorities. We would expect the supplier(s), as part of the evaluation planning phase, to determine where costs are not proportional and suggest solutions accordingly for a number of Tier 2 or Tier 3 priorities which are more difficult to assess. These may include a limited number of instances where costs dictate that metrics cannot be suitably appraised, with resources directed elsewhere. As a hypothetical example here, it may be that Nature/Biodiversity is too difficult to assess and, as a Tier 3, is removed from the framework, whilst Waste & Circular Economy can only be appraised in a narrow fashion relative to SE1 Carbon Footprint, which is the Tier 1 priority.
ID25	Evaluation Framework (Annex 1)	Is the focus of the added value area 'Participation' on participation in football, participation in sport/ physical activity more generally, and/ or something else (e.g. wider community participation)?	The focus here is on football and other sport/physical activity, but we envisage community participation etc., very much being part of outputs and outcomes assessed under framework themes; Inclusion, Cohesive Communities and Community, leading into Social Impacts.
ID26	Evaluation Framework (Annex 1)	What media data from other suppliers (e.g. UEFA and broadcasters) might be made available to the winning bidder to form part of the AVE / ROI (TT9 from the Evaluation Framework document)?	For AVE/Rol, Delivery Partners will likely be gathering data in this area with the results/data being made available to the chosen supplier(s) to use in a consistent manner in the evaluation. The supplier(s) are not expected to collect their own data on media/social media use.
ID27	Outputs	What kind of outputs are expected specifically for sustainability/environmental impacts?	Specific metrics will be determined during the evaluation planning phase (July-Dec 2026). We have provided broad themes and indicators in Annex 2 of the ITT.

ID28	Procurement Process	Please can you confirm if all questions submitted following the market engagement event will be shared with answers alongside the responses to questions submitted now.	We will issue responses to clarifications questions which have been submitted during Stage 1 only, at this time. Responses to questions raised following the supplier briefing are already, and will remain, available on Find a Tender, within the 'Documents' section of this notice.
ID29	Procurement Process	If requirements / circumstances evolve between Stage 1 (Participation) and Stage 2 (Tender), will bidders be permitted to adjust the composition of their consortium?	<p>It may be possible for a bidder to adjust the composition of their consortium, but bidders must be aware of the obligations on the Contracting Authorities to act transparently and in a way that treats all bidders equally. Where there is a proposed change in the composition of a consortia during this Procurement Process, a new party must be able to meet any conditions of participation met by the party it is replacing. In addition, any changes must not impact the overall ability of the bidder to meet the conditions of participation, and must not require any re-evaluation of the technical assessment elements of the PSQ.</p> <p>It will be entirely at the discretion of the Contracting Authorities to allow for any change in party, and to reject any Tender Response where a proposed change would risk the integrity of the procurement. In any event, the bidder will be required to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - notify the Contracting Authorities of that change as soon as possible. Where there is a change of organisation, further information (including the information requested in the PSQ) will be requested for any new parties. - evidence that they can still meet the conditions of participation and requirements sought in the PSQ without having to redraft any responses to Technical Ability responses. <p>In any case, any changes must be finalised in advance of the Tender Response deadline to enable the Contracting Authorities to properly assess submissions based on the final composition of organisations involved.</p>
ID30	Procurement Process	At the point of PSQ submissions some details might be provisional, such as subcontractors, systems, etc. Is it acceptable to fully confirm and finalise such arrangements later as the process evolves?	<p>See response ID 29.</p> <p>Yes, however, arrangements should be finalised before the Tender Response deadline.</p>
ID31	PSQ (Annex 4)	Are we correct in understanding that a single PSQ would be submitted for the consortium?	Yes. Where organisations are collaborating as part of a group, the 'lead' organisation should complete one PSQ on behalf of the group. This should include, where necessary, information on any subcontractors/other organisations in that group. Sections 3, 4, 5, 6.1, and 8 specifically include information requests relating to subcontractors/ any members of the group who aren't the lead organisation.
ID32	PSQ (Annex 4)	That our partner in the consortium would additionally provide such details as described as for Associated Persons AND Subcontractors in section 4 of the PSQ form.	Yes, this is correct. See response ID 31.
ID33	PSQ (Annex 4)	Section 5 would suffice for us only, as the lead partner.	Yes, Section 5 should be completed by the 'lead organisation' only, unless you are relying on another supplier to act as guarantor. Where this is the case, evidence of that organisations financial standing is required. This should be in the form of one of the options set out in Section 5.2.
ID34	PSQ (Annex 4)	Section 6 can be answered as a consortium, so that we can use case studies/references from both parties to demonstrate the depth and breadth of our experience and expertise.	Yes, this is correct. See Footnote 3 in the PSQ which effectively addresses this point.
ID35	PSQ (Annex 4)	Sections 7, 8 and 9 can then again be dealt with by us, as the lead partner and Principal Supplier.	Yes, this is correct. Though it should be noted, as per the Contract, that any subcontractors are expected to be bound by the obligations and commitments set out in Section 7 & 8 if awarded the contract.
ID36	PSQ (Annex 4)	Please could you confirm how a consortium of companies should approach completing the PSQ?	Please see response ID's 31-35.
ID37	PSQ (Annex 4)	Are you expecting one single PSQ on behalf of all consortium members (submitted by the consortium lead) OR one PSQ for each company in the consortium?	See response ID 31.
ID38	PSQ (Annex 4)	If a supplier is proposing to support more than one "lead" consortia as part of Stage 1, do they submit one PSQ, or duplicate the PSQ for each "lead" consortium they will be part of?	<p>See response ID 31. Where organisations are collaborating as part of a group, the 'lead' organisation should complete one PSQ on behalf of that group.</p> <p>If a subcontractor (i.e. an organisation which is not a lead organisation) and/or an associated person features in more than one group, they will be required to liaise with the respective lead organisations in each of the groups which they feature in to provide the information necessary for the purpose of preparing the PSQ response. This information is set out in the PSQ and the relevant sections are noted in response ID 31.</p> <p>Organisations who are part of more than one group should ensure that they have familiarised themselves with Section 4.3.6 of the ITT and be able to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Contracting Authorities, if requested.</p>
ID39	PSQ (Annex 4)	If a supplier is only proposing to deliver a subset of deliverables (e.g. socio-economic deliverables that span more than one required output), how should this be reflected in the Cost and Pricing Table (Annex 6)?	The proportion of anticipated time to be committed to that subset of deliverables should be apportioned proportionately across each of the outputs to which it is applicable. If this is not possible it should be captured in the 'other' column (U-W) of Annex 6.
ID40	PSQ (Annex 4)	In our response to Part C: Risk Management, can we provide a risk register in addition to the 500 word limit?	A risk register can be provided, but the overall response (including any content within a risk register, if provided) should not exceed 500 words.

ID41	PSQ (Annex 4)	Under section 7 – Additional Obligations, can you provide more detail on what is meant by the following: “Do you confirm a commitment to progressive EDI arrangements, including a commitment to ensuring the views of varied demographic groups are heard and effectively captured throughout the outputs of the contract?” As currently written this is a broad commitment without detail of what we are being asked to sign up, therefore we will need a better understanding of the specifics of what is required for the commitment to be considered.	The Contracting Authorities are committed to ensuring that the delivery of EURO 2028 aligns with best practice for, and progressive arrangements regarding, EDI. Steps have been taken throughout the set up of the tournament to ensure that progressive EDI arrangements are embedded into governance structures, delivery arrangements and decision-making forums. All publicly funded parties are set up to comply with and exceed the standards set out in the Code for Sport Governance. We are seeking a commitment from the appointed supplier to effectively factor into thier approach and embed equality, diversity and inclusivity considerations. Ideally this would extend to the make-up of the project team to ensure that diversity of thought and a range of views are incorporated into evaluation programme planning and delivery, and; to give consideration to how, through the contract, we can obtain a diverse and representative sample of responses to any surveys undertaken to ensure it is representative of the rich diversity of the host nations.
ID42	PSQ (Annex 4)	Must each consortium member submit a separate PSQ, or is a single consolidated PSQ sufficient?	See response ID 31.
ID43	PSQ (Annex 4)	If separate PSQs are required, should the technical capability information (Section 6) be identical across members, or can each highlight their specific strengths?	See response ID 31.
ID44	PSQ (Annex 4)	For Technical Ability Part A (relevant experience), the PSQ states ""up to three examples"" for consortia. Is this 3 examples maximum per group (pooled), or up to 3 per member?"	See response ID 34.
ID45	PSQ (Annex 4)	Definition and Scope of "Associated Persons": For consortium bids where members are bidding jointly as members (not subcontractors), should they be declared as "associated persons"?	The Contracting Authorities ae relying on the description of associated person included in the guidance under the Procurement Act 2023. An associated person is a consortium member or a sub-contractor that is to be relied upon to satisfy the conditions of participation, but which doesn't include guarantors. Any suppliers bidding as part of a consortium are classed as associated persons.
ID46	PSQ (Annex 4)	If we form a consortium with another company, are both parties required to submit an individual PSQ document? In case of a joint PSQ submission, may each company provide up to three relevant contracts/references?	See response ID 31, and footnote 3 of the PSQ. We expect 3 examples of relevant contracts from the group (not 3 from each organisation).
ID47	PSQ (Annex 4)	How are “subcontractors” and “associated persons” defined and distinguished from one another (PSQ, Section 4)?	Associated person is a consortium member or a sub-contractor that is to be relied upon to satisfy the conditions of participation. A sub-contractor may be an associated person but can also be any other organisation that the bidder will have to enter into a sub-contract with for the delivery of the services, but isn't being relied upon to meet conditions of participation.
ID48	PSQ (Annex 4)	If, at this stage, we already anticipate engaging subcontractors (e.g. panel providers), but these have not yet been finalised as commercial terms are still under negotiation, to what extent should this be disclosed in the PSQ?	This should be disclosed in the PSQ, provided the parties in question are content for their names to be included (and provide any relevant information sought in the PSQ). Where sub-contractors are not mentioned in the PSQ and then are relied upon later in the tender process, the Contracting Authorities may be required to reconsider the Conditions of Participation to ensure that the same thresholds are met.
ID49	PSQ (Annex 4)	Which aspects of risk management are of particular interest to you (PSQ, Section 6.8)?	This is up to Tenderers to propose as part of their PSQ response.
ID50	PSQ (Annex 4)	Should the supplier list all potential sub-contractors at this stage, or is it anticipated that sub-contractors may be employed flexibly as the project progresses?	See response ID 29. Bidders should list all possible sub contractors at this stage, provided they have the permission of each subcontractor to do so. Bidders should seek to finalise thier group composition in advance of the Tender Response deadline to enable Contracting Authorities to properly assess submissions based on the final composition of organisations involved. Bidders are also reminded that under clause 18.1 the Contracting Authorities' consent is required for any sub-contracts during the term of the contract.
ID51	PSQ (Annex 4)	Does a sub-contractor constitute an associated person if we are not reliant on them to satisfy a condition?	See response ID 45.
ID52	PSQ (Annex 4)	Is section 5 only to be completed by the lead supplier?	See response ID 33.
ID53	PSQ (Annex 4)	Can section 6.1 include case studies for sub-contractors?	See response ID 34.
ID54	PSQ (Annex 4)	Where experience is delivered through a consortium or with subcontractors, will experience be scored equally provided governance and accountability are clearly evidenced, or is greater weight given to experience held by the Principal Supplier?	Assessment will be considered on a group-wide basis with regard to the volume of time being committed by each member of the group.
ID55	PSQ (Annex 4)	Can you confirm the definition of “core suppliers” for the purposes of Core Supplier Information—specifically whether specialist academic partners providing advisory input (rather than delivery leadership) must be included?	See response ID 45, noting that the requirement to provide information is linked to the reliance that the bidder will have on that partner to meet the conditions of participation.
ID56	PSQ (Annex 4)	For Technical Ability Part C (Risk Management), will you be primarily assessing the existence of formal risk frameworks, or the practical application of risk management on comparable programmes?	The Contracting Authorities will be assessing a combination of the existence of formal risk frameworks, evidence of processes and practices which the suppliers have in place; evidence of processes and practices being implemented through previous comparable contracts; and, evidence of risk management approaches and risk mitigation measures which are relevant to the contract.
ID57	PSQ (Annex 4)	We are bidding as a consortium of 3-4 organisations – would we submit 1 PSQ or 3/4 (ie one per organisation)?	See response ID 31.
ID58	PSQ (Annex 4)	For 6.1 of the technical ability question is there a word limit for the “description of contract” row?	No formal word limit was specified in the PSQ, but bidders are asked, where possible, to limit content to a maximum of 75 words.

ID59	PSQ (Annex 4)	Do you require any pricing information as part of "Stage 1: Participation Stage" – ie completion of Annex 6 provided as part of the ITT documentation pack.	No, this is not required for Stage 1 of the Procurement Process.
ID60	Specification (Annex 3)	What support can be provided in volunteer recruitment for on-site surveys, or is this fully the responsibility of the bidder?	<p>It is the responsibility of the appointed supplier to source volunteers required for any primary research, where primary research is conducted on-site (and undertaken by volunteers). However, Contracting Authorities and Delivery Partners will support any recruitment drive with PR support across their communication platforms.</p> <p>Where required in order to collect data relating to the delivery of the Contract Outputs, the Contracting Authorities will source a limited number of accredited passes for Supplier personnel to access tournament venues.</p>
ID61	Specification (Annex 3)	What studies can be expected/proposed in non-Host Cities?	<p>We envisage a section of the final outputs being dedicated to impacts and benefits relevant to non-host cities, but we don't expect individual dedicated outputs/reports for non-host cities.</p> <p>Specific coverage of non-host cities will be determined during the evaluation planning phase (Jul-Dec 2026), but we would expect non-host cities to benefit from a range of tournament spillover benefits as well as mainly social legacy outcomes. Any suggested analysis will need to be proportional to cost and not detract from other aspects of the research.</p>
ID62	Specification (Annex 3)	"Ad hoc provision of additional scope" at a blended day rate. Is there a ring-fenced contingency budget for these requests, or must they be accommodated within the indicated cap?	There is no contingency budget available. If there are any material additional scope requirements which are deemed necessary, these (and their funding) will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis by the Contracting Authorities at the time. Where scope changes are agreed to, they would be specified through a change control procedure. Charges for any additional scope would be based on the rates set out in the second tab of the Annex 6.
ID63	Specification Part 2	Can you confirm that Part 2 of the scope of work, presented at the market engagement event, is no longer required/ part of the scope of work being commissioned through the current tender?	<p>Yes, we can confirm this. Specification Part 2 (which was spoken about at the supplier briefing) has been removed from the current Tender.</p> <p>Scottish Government are considering running a separate competition for this area of work. Should it do so, information will be published via traditional channels including the Public Contracts Scotland website (https://www.publiccontractsscotland.gov.uk/).</p>