This is a published notice on the Find a Tender service: <a href="https://www.find-tender.service.gov.uk/Notice/022772-2025">https://www.find-tender.service.gov.uk/Notice/022772-2025</a>

Tender

# **USW - Educational Disability Assessment Services**

University of South Wales

UK4: Tender notice - Procurement Act 2023 - view information about notice types

Notice identifier: 2025/S 000-022772

Procurement identifier (OCID): ocds-h6vhtk-051757 (view related notices)

Published 19 May 2025, 3:36pm

#### Scope

#### Reference

1981

#### **Description**

USW is seeking a service to support neurodivergent students by providing access to funded diagnostic assessment services. The University is keen to ensure that students have access to high quality and timely assessment services. We are seeking a partnership with a single service provider who aligns with our values and aspirations. The aim of this partnership will be to develop and deliver a consistent high quality assessment experience for our student body and provide a tried and tested service solution that delivers our outlined requirements.

#### **Total value (estimated)**

• £480,000 excluding VAT

• £570,000 including VAT

Above the relevant threshold

## **Contract dates (estimated)**

- 1 September 2025 to 31 August 2028
- 3 years

#### Main procurement category

Services

#### **CPV** classifications

- 80300000 Higher education services
- 80430000 Adult-education services at university level
- 85000000 Health and social work services

#### **Contract locations**

• UKL15 - Central Valleys

# **Participation**

## Particular suitability

• Small and medium-sized enterprises (SME)

•	Voluntary,	community	and s	social	enter	prises (	(VCSE)	)
---	------------	-----------	-------	--------	-------	----------	--------	---

## **Submission**

## **Enquiry deadline**

2 June 2025, 12:00pm

#### **Tender submission deadline**

16 June 2025, 12:00pm

#### Submission address and any special instructions

https://etenderwales.bravosolution.co.uk/go/635014490196E8F6ED02

## Tenders may be submitted electronically

Yes

#### Languages that may be used for submission

- Welsh
- English

## Award decision date (estimated)

31 July 2025

## **Award criteria**

Name	Description	Туре
Question 1 - Service Delivery 8	Describe in detail your	Quality
Process efficiency: Process -	proposed system and process	
10%	for submitting, managing, and	
	tracking assessment referrals.	
	Your response should address	
	the following points: System	
	Access and User Roles:	
	Explain how staff will access	
	the system, including user	
	account setup, role-based	
	permissions, and	
	authentication methods.	
	Referral Submission Process:	
	Describe the end-to-end	
	process for submitting a	
	referral, including what	
	information is required, how	
	forms or supporting evidence	
	are uploaded, and how long it	
	takes to complete a typical	
	submission. Case Tracking	
	and Communication: Outline	
	how users are kept informed of	f
	case progress (e.g.,	
	acknowledgements, status	
	updates, appointment	
	scheduling), and whether	
	schools can track the status of	
	each referral in real-time	
Question 2 - Service Delivery & Process Efficiency: Scheduling	Release describe your process for ensuring both the initial	Quality

- 10%

contact with referred students and the scheduling of full assessment appointments are completed within the required timeframes. Your response should address the following: Initial Contact: Explain how you

ensure first contact with the referred student is made within 5 working days of receiving the referral, including the communication methods used and how you prioritise this process. Scheduling Full Assessments: Describe your approach for ensuring that full assessment appointments are scheduled and completed within 20 working days of initial contact, and how you manage this process across multiple referrals. Monitoring and Tracking: Outline how you track and monitor these timelines to ensure compliance with the 5-day and 20-day timeframes, including any automated or manual systems used to flag potential delays. Contingency and Mitigation Plans: Provide details of the contingency plans in place for peak periods or unforeseen delays (e.g., staff shortages, high demand) to maintain compliance with these timeframes. Include examples of how you've successfully managed similar challenges in past contracts or services. Performance Data: Show evidence of your ability to meet the 5-day and 20-day deadlines, such as performance data, case studies, or key performance indicators (KPIs) from similar services you have delivered. (Performance data can be attached separately)."

& Peak demand management - the estimated demand of 400

Question 3 - Service Delivery & Please explain how you plan to Quality Process Efficiency: Scalability scale your operations to meet

10%

assessments per academic year, with particular emphasis on handling peak periods (August-December). Your response should include: Capacity and Resources: Detail the resources (staff, technology, infrastructure) you will allocate to meet this demand, including how you ensure consistent service delivery during high-demand periods. Scalability Measures: Describe how your operations can be scaled effectively, both in terms of personnel and processes, to handle large volumes of assessments, particularly during peak months. Contingency Planning: Outline any contingency plans in place for addressing unexpected spikes in demand or operational challenges that could impact your ability to deliver assessments on time. Evidence of Past Scalability: Provide examples or data from previous contracts or services demonstrating your ability to scale your operations and meet similar volumes of demand without compromising quality or timelines. (Data can be attached separately).

Question 4 - Service Delivery and Process Efficiency: Feedback & Student Support -10%

Please describe the measures Quality you will implement to ensure students can track the status of their assessment, retrieve their assessment report (within 5 working days of the assessment), and access postassessment feedback, as well as onward support resources or signposting. Your response should cover the following: Tracking Assessment Status: Detail the process or system in place that allows students (and/or relevant staff) to track the status of their assessment, from referral through to completion. Include how students are notified of any changes to their status or delays. Report Access and Delivery: Explain how students can retrieve their assessment report, ensuring it is available within the 5-working-day timeframe. Discuss the platform or method used for secure delivery (e.g., secure portal, email) and how you ensure confidentiality. Post-Assessment Feedback and Support: Describe how students will receive personalised post-assessment feedback and the range of onward support services or resources (e.g., signposting to educational support, mental health services) available to them after the assessment is complete. Examples of Solution Capabilities: Provide specific examples of how your solution has enabled previous clients to navigate the

Name	Description	Туре
	assessment process	
	effectively, particularly in terms	3
	of student engagement,	
	tracking, report retrieval, and	
	post-assessment support.	
	Include any data or client	
	feedback that highlights the	
	success of your system.	
Question 5 - Technical and	Please describe your approach	n Quality
Platform Requirements: Data	to ensuring the secure	
Protection- 10%	handling of personal and	
	special category data in	
	compliance with UK Data	
	Protection Legislation	
	(including GDPR). Your	
	response should cover the	
	following areas: Secure Digital	
	Referral and Data Sharing:	
	Detail your secure digital	
	referral mechanism, including how it facilitates two-way data	
	sharing with the University.	
	Explain how the system	
	ensures GDPR compliance	
	and data security, including	
	access controls, encryption	
	methods, and auditing	
	capabilities. Describe how you	r
	system reduces the risk of	
	manual data entry errors and	
	ensures the accuracy and	
	efficiency of student record	
	integration. Organisational	
	Data Protection Approach:	
	Outline your organisational	
	approach to ensuring	
	compliance with UK Data	
	Protection Legislation,	
	particularly when handling	
	personal and special category	
	data. Include details on your	
	data protection policies, staff	
	training programs, and	
	accountability measures that	

ensure compliance across the service. Provide information about your incident response procedures, including how you address potential data protection breaches. Data Retention and Secure Deletion: Describe your data retention policy and how you manage secure data deletion to comply with university policies, legal requirements, and audit standards. Does your platform support scheduled data deletion, and if so, how is this managed? Data Breach Notification: Explain your process for notifying the University of a data breach involving student data, including timelines for notification (without undue delay). Provide examples from previous contracts or services that demonstrate your experience in managing data breaches, including the outcome and steps taken to prevent future incidents.

Name Description Type Question 6 - Technical & Please describe how your Quality Platform Requirements: solution is designed to meet Accessibility & Inclusivity - 10% digital accessibility and inclusion standards. Your response should cover the following areas: Digital Accessibility Statement: Provide a clear digital accessibility statement for your platform, including compliance with relevant accessibility standards (e.g., WCAG 2.1, ADA, or Section 508). Include details on how you ensure accessibility across different

devices (e.g., desktop, mobile) and browsers. Inclusive Design Features: Explain how your platform is inclusively designed to accommodate diverse user needs. This should cover: Visual Accessibility: Tools for visually impaired users (e.g., screen reader compatibility, adjustable text sizes, high-contrast modes). Cognitive Accessibility: Features for neurodiverse users, such as simple navigation, clear language, or customisable

interfaces. Hearing

Physical Accessibility:

and Tools: Describe the specific accessibility tools integrated into the platform (e.g., alternative text for

Accessibility: Options for users with hearing impairments, such as captioning or visual cues.

Solutions for users with motor impairments, like keyboard navigation or voice control options. Access to Resources

images, adjustable font sizes, color contrast adjustments)

that support diverse user groups in accessing educational resources and content. Ongoing Accessibility Testing and Improvement: Explain how you regularly test your platform for accessibility and inclusion, and what steps you take to address emerging accessibility issues. Include any third-party audits or certifications your platform has undergone. Examples and Impact: Provide examples or case studies where your platform has been used successfully by a diverse range of users, including those with disabilities or specific needs. Include any feedback or outcomes that demonstrate how your solution has facilitated equal access for all users.

Social Value -10%

In line with USW's commitment Quality to the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015, this document provides Bidders with an opportunity to present how their organisation can support the University of South Wales in delivering against these objectives. The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act is about improving the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales. For further guidance please see "Guide to the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015" within the Tender Documents. Bidders are requested to provide details of their Social Value proposal (throughout the life of the contract and beyond) and to set targets against the measures that have been identified in line with the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015. Please only outline initatives and social value contributions that are anticipated as a direct result of being awarded this contract (if successful) and not what your organisation does as a whole. Upon award of the contract, these metrics are intended to be used as KPI's and the successful Bidder will be expected to report against delivery of these periodically. Social Value Metrics - 5% This tab enables bidders to set a target against specific measures, aligned to the 7 themes identified in the

Wellbeing of Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015. Please add your target into the vellow cell found in 'Column I' for each of the measures. The unit of measure to apply is identified in Column G, and this target will then be multiplied by the 'proxy' in 'Column H' to provide a total 'Social Value Contribution figure'. This total value will then be evaluated as per the Evaluation Criteria outlined in the ITT. Where the term "Local" is used, this is defined as the geographical area of Wales. Social Value Method Statements - 5% This tab enables bidders to demonstrate what initiatives they intend to put in place in order to deliver Social Value as a part of this contract. Please provide a method statement that outlines the initiatives as well as provide details around how they will be managed and furthermore, how progress will be tracked. Example Initiatives are provided. This will be evaluated in line with the methodology contained within the ITT.

Total Cost - 20%

Please use the pricing document titled "USW - 1981 - Educational Disability Assessment Services - Pricing Schedule" in the Tender Pricing Schedule Folder of the attachments section, to illustrate the breakdown of the costs for this tender. Please input your total cost to fulfil the requirement here.

Cost

Question 7 - Diagnostic Assessment Standards: Qualifications and Compliance - 5%  (e.g., Educational Psychologists BPS/HCPC or specialist teacher assessors with APC). How do you ensure continuous compliance with CPD, DBS checks, and insurance requirements?  Question 8 - Diagnostic Assessment Standards: Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Assessment Standards: Assessment Standards: Assessment Standards: Assessment Standards: Assessment Swill adhere to the SASC-STEC referenced SpLD Working Party Guidelines. Your response should cover the following: Alignment with SASC-STEC Guidelines: Describe how your assessment process and methodologies are aligned with the SASC- STEC SpLD Working Party Guidelines for specific learning difficulties (SpLD). Highlight any key aspects of the guidelines (e.g., assessment protocols, eligibility criteria) that your service incorporates. Assessment Tools and Methodologies: Provide details of the tools and methodologies you use to conduct neurodiversity assessments, ensuring that they are in line with the SASC-STEC guidelines. Include any specific diagnostic tools, psychometric assessments, or observational	Name	Description	Туре
Assessment Standards: Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  ASC-STEC referenced SpLD  Working Party Guidelines.  Your response should cover the following: Alignment with  SASC-STEC Guidelines:  Describe how your assessment process and methodologies are aligned with the SASC- STEC SpLD Working Party Guidelines for specific learning difficulties (SpLD). Highlight any key aspects of the guidelines (e.g., assessment protocols, eligibility criteria) that your service incorporates. Assessment Tools and Methodologies: Provide details of the tools and methodologies you use to conduct neurodiversity assessments, ensuring that they are in line with the SASC-STEC guidelines. Include any specific diagnostic tools, psychometric	Assessment Standards: Qualifications and Compliance	assessors hold relevant qualifications and registrations (e.g., Educational Psychologists BPS/HCPC or specialist teacher assessors with APC). How do you ensure continuous compliance with CPD, DBS checks, and	·
Assessment Standards: Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  Adherence to Guidelines- 5%  ASC-STEC referenced SpLD  Working Party Guidelines.  Your response should cover the following: Alignment with  SASC-STEC Guidelines:  Describe how your assessment process and methodologies are aligned with the SASC- STEC SpLD Working Party Guidelines for specific learning difficulties (SpLD). Highlight any key aspects of the guidelines (e.g., assessment protocols, eligibility criteria) that your service incorporates. Assessment Tools and Methodologies: Provide details of the tools and methodologies you use to conduct neurodiversity assessments, ensuring that they are in line with the SASC-STEC guidelines. Include any specific diagnostic tools, psychometric	Question 8 - Diagnostic	•	Quality
techniques that are used to ensure robust, evidence-based conclusions. Quality Control and Assurance: Explain the quality control measures you have in place to ensure the	Assessment Standards:	assessments will adhere to the SASC-STEC referenced SpLD Working Party Guidelines. Your response should cover the following: Alignment with SASC-STEC Guidelines: Describe how your assessmen process and methodologies are aligned with the SASC-STEC SpLD Working Party Guidelines for specific learning difficulties (SpLD). Highlight any key aspects of the guidelines (e.g., assessment protocols, eligibility criteria) that your service incorporates. Assessment Tools and Methodologies: Provide details of the tools and methodologies you use to conduct neurodiversity assessments, ensuring that they are in line with the SASC-STEC guidelines. Include any specific diagnostic tools, psychometric assessments, or observational techniques that are used to ensure robust, evidence-based conclusions. Quality Control and Assurance: Explain the quality control measures you	t

Name	Description	Туре	
	standards of accuracy,		
	reliability, and fairness,	as	
	outlined in the guideline	S.	
	Describe how you moni	tor and	
	evaluate the quality of		
	assessments to ensure	they	
	remain consistent with S	SASC-	
	STEC requirements, an	d any	
	audits or reviews you co	onduct.	
	Provide a sample diagn	ostic	
	report with your respons	se.	
	(Sample report can be		
	attached separately).		

## Weighting description

The contract will be awarded based on the most economically advantageous tender, using a combination of price and quality. The proposed weighting is:

- Price 20%
- Quality 80%

Quality will be assessed based on the following sub-criteria:

- Service Delivery & Process efficiency:
- Process
- Scheduling
- Scalability & Peak demand management
- Feedback & Student Support
- Technical and Platform Requirements:
- Data Protection
- Accessibility & Inclusivity
- Diagnostic Assessment Standards:

- Qualifications and Compliance
- Adherence to Guidelines
- Social Value
- Total Cost
Other information
Conflicts assessment prepared/revised
Yes
Procedure
Procedure type
Open procedure
Documents
Associated tender documents

 $\underline{https://etenderwales.bravosolution.co.uk/go/635014490196E8F6ED02}$ 

Tender documents can be accessed via the link.

#### Technical specifications to be met

https://etenderwales.bravosolution.co.uk/go/635014490196E8F6ED02

Specification documents can be accessed via the link.

## **Contracting authority**

# **University of South Wales**

• Charity Commission (England and Wales): 1140312

University of South Wales,

Pontypridd

CF37 1DL

**United Kingdom** 

Contact name: Claire Thomas

Email: claire.thomas1@southwales.ac.uk

Website: <a href="http://www.southwales.ac.uk">http://www.southwales.ac.uk</a>

Region: UKL15 - Central Valleys

Organisation type: Public undertaking (commercial organisation subject to public authority oversight)

Devolved regulations that apply: Wales